

Section 1: Essential Issues of Media Literacy Education

Media Literacy—A National Priority for a Changing World

ELIZABETH THOMAN

TESSA JOLLS

Center for Media Literacy

The convergence of media and technology in a global culture is changing the way we learn about the world and challenging the very foundations of education. No longer is it enough to be able to read the printed word; children, youth, and adults need the ability to critically interpret the powerful images of a multimedia culture. Media literacy education provides a framework and a pedagogy for the new literacy needed for living, working, and citizenship in the 21st century. Moreover, it paves the way to mastering the skills required for lifelong learning in a constantly changing world.

Keywords: media; literacy; media literacy; media education; pedagogy

THE CHALLENGES OF A MULTIMEDIA WORLD

Since the beginning of recorded history, the concept of literacy meant having the skill to interpret “squiggles” on a piece of paper as letters that when put together, formed words that conveyed meaning. Teaching young people to put the words together to understand (and in turn, express) ever more complex ideas became the goal of education as it evolved over the centuries.

Today, information about the world around us comes to us not only by words on a piece of paper but also, more and more, through the powerful images and sounds of our multimedia culture. From the clock radio that wakes us up in the morning until we fall asleep watching the late night talk show, we are exposed to hundreds—even thousands—of images and ideas from not only television but also Web sites, movies, talk radio, magazine covers, e-mail, video games, music, cell phone messages, billboards, and more. Media no longer just shape our culture—they are our culture.

Although mediated messages appear to be self-evident, in truth, they use a complex audio/visual “language” that has its own rules (grammar), and that can be used to express many-layered concepts and ideas about the world. Not everything may be obvious at first; and images go by so fast! If our children are to be able to navigate their lives through this multimedia culture, they need to be fluent in “reading” and “writing” the language of images and sounds just as we have always taught them to read and write the language of printed communications.

Author Douglas Rushkoff (1996) has called the current youth generation “screen-agers” because their media use is not distinguished specifically as television or video games or movies or computers—or even telephones—but simply as a series of screens that they both access and manipulate in a constantly evolving stream of shared communication. This capability, in turn, is transforming the use and impact of media in everyday life:

- Screen-agers see media not as discrete products that can affect them or their culture but as elements of a multimedia mosaic that is their culture.
- Screen-agers read and write seamlessly using images, sounds, and words.
- Screen-agers experience the world not in physical boundaries but as an instant global network of wireless connections and interconnections.

In this kind of world, the content of a specific media message is no longer all that relevant. It is only one of thousands received every day. What is important is facility with analyzing new information as it is received, evaluating it against one’s prior knowledge, formulating a response, and ultimately, communicating to others your decision or point of view.

In other words, what is important is not so much the message itself as how we make sense of the message and by extension, of the mediated world around us. It demands a new kind of literacy, rooted in the real world of instant information, global interactivity, and messages created on multiple media platforms.

BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN LEARNING AND LIFE

It was communications theorist David Berlo (1975) who identified why learning must shift from knowledge acquisition to knowledge processing:

For the first time in human history, two related propositions are true. One, it no longer is *possible* to store within the human brain all of the information that a human needs; we can no longer rely on ourselves as a memory bank. Second, it no longer is *necessary* to store within the human brain all of the information that humans need; we are *obsolete* as a memory bank. . . .

Education needs to be geared toward the *handling* of data rather than the *accumulation* of data. (p. 8, italics added)

Berlo's (1975) insights were echoed by the Partnership for 21st Century Skills (2003), a public-private organization of leaders and educators in business and education, in their *Learning for the 21st Century* report outlining a vision for education in the United States. The Partnership observed, "Today's education system faces irrelevance unless we bridge the gap between how students live and how they learn. Schools are struggling to keep pace with the astonishing rate of change in students' lives outside of school" (p. 4).

Outlining what it will take to be successful in the 21st century work and living environment, the report goes on to challenge,

People need to know more than core subjects. They need to know how to use their knowledge and skills—by thinking critically, applying knowledge to new situations, analyzing information, comprehending new ideas, communicating, collaborating, solving problems, making decisions. . . . [They] need to become lifelong learners, updating their knowledge and skills continually and independently. (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2003, pp. 6, 9)

In its publication *Why Business Cares about Education*, the Business Coalition for Education Reform (2001) noted that today's economy is vastly different from 50 years ago and "fueled now by brains rather than brawn. In order to survive, businesses need individuals who possess a wide range of high-level skills and abilities, such as critical thinking, problem solving, teamwork and decision-making" (p. 2).

Although today's media culture seems daunting, in truth, it also provides a nearly limitless resource for real-world learning—from how to identify "point of view" by comparing guests on the Sunday morning political talk shows to how to determine whether medical information on an Internet site is legitimate. Students, beginning in elementary school, need to become intimately familiar with their media culture to take full advantage of the vast array of research tools, digital content, and multimedia communications options available to them.

Activities that involve creating media messages—such as writing and producing a video script complete with sound effects—not only create proficiency in writing and editing (core language arts goals) but also build teamwork skills, tolerance for another's perspective, organization and delegation skills, and an appreciation for the variety of talents it takes to complete a large-scale project.

Most of all, bringing media culture into the learning environment—from kindergarten to graduate school—guarantees a high level of engagement by students. And engagement, as every teacher knows, is the key to learning success. Teens today have no memory of life without television; kindergarteners know only a world with cell phones, laptops, instant messaging, and movies on DVD. To ignore the media-rich environment they bring with them to school is to shortchange them for life.

EXPANDING OUR NOTIONS OF LITERACY

In the past 20 years, the field of media literacy education has emerged to organize and promote the importance of teaching this expanded notion of literacy.

At first, media literacy was seen as teaching children about media—how advertising works or how to analyze the nightly news telecast. But in her landmark book *Literacy in a Digital World: Teaching and Learning in the Age of Information*, Kathleen Tyner (1998) posited that media education is more about education than it is about media. For Tyner, media education “expands literacy to include reading and writing through the use of new and emerging communication tools. It is learning that demands the critical, independent and creative use of information” (p. 196).

Today, the field has matured to a greater understanding of its potential, not just as a new kind of literacy but also as the engine for transforming the very nature of learning in a global multimedia environment. As noted by the Partnership for 21st Century Skills (2003), “Students will spend all their adult lives in a multi-tasking, multi-faceted, technology-driven, diverse, vibrant world—and they must arrive equipped to do so” (p. 4). Media literacy, grounded as it is in inquiry-based, process-oriented pedagogy, offers not a new subject to teach but rather a new way to teach and even more important, *a new way to learn*.

Even today, but more so in the future, learning happens anywhere and everywhere, 24/7. Increasingly it occurs most powerfully through the convergence of media and technology. Video games, for example, are not just mindless entertainment; according to literacy scholar, James Paul Gee (2003), they are actually quite intricate learning experiences that have a great deal to teach us about how learning and literacy are changing in the modern world. In *What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy*, Gee identified 36 learning principles built into good games and predicted that video games are the forerunners of powerful instructional tools in the future.

It is this convergence between media and education, between entertainment and learning, that is driving major change in the sources and the content of what we learn and how we learn in today’s world. Media literacy is not needed in the future, it is needed now, urgently, to assure that our citizens are equipped to make the decisions and contributions a global economy and global culture demand of them.

A recent study by the American Diploma Project (2004), an organization composed of representatives from Achieve Inc., the Education Trust, and the Thomas B. Fordham Foundation, echoes the need for closing the gap between the classroom and “real life.” Their research indicates that high school students are poorly prepared for college and the job market, and that employers and postsecondary institutions “all but ignore the diploma, knowing that it often

serves as little more than a certificate of attendance,” because “what it takes to earn one is disconnected from what it takes for graduates to compete successfully beyond high school” (American Diploma Project, 2004, p. 1).

The American Diploma Project (2004) called for rigorous national standards to better reflect the challenges faced by high school graduates. In the field of English, for example, the American Diploma Project not only called for mastery of spelling and grammar, communication skills, writing, research, and logic but also identified as important the ability “to read and interpret technical material, *to view media critically* and to understand and analyze literature” (Arenson, 2004, para. 11).

This is good news for advocates of media education. National standards would ensure that every child has access to this valuable instruction. Furthermore, it would lead to a consistent, measurable definition of media literacy and to a set of competencies to guide curriculum development. Several states already include some aspect of media education in their standards, but the standards are so vague and inconsistent that it is difficult, at times, to determine exactly what is being taught under the banner of *media literacy*.

OPPORTUNITIES BEYOND SCHOOL

Although media literacy is ideally suited for an educational context, it is clearly not limited to children or to the K-12 classroom. Adults, too, need the opportunity to gain the skills they now find missing in their educational background. Health and religious communities as well as the business world can all make valuable contributions to educating adults.

Consider the value, for example, of a public education campaign to explain the rules and explore the marketing strategies behind prescription drug television commercials—an advertising phenomenon that became legal only in 1997, and that has created an explosion in demand for drug medications. Coupled with an interactive Web site where individuals can practice applying their new decoding skills to drug advertising relevant to them, such a campaign could dramatically improve the public’s ability to spot rhetorical devices, uncover persuasion techniques, and challenge unsubstantiated claims. The result is both knowledge and skills that transfer easily to many other life and work issues.

Even the technology, entertainment, and media industries have a valuable role to play. Media are powerful teachers. Their power can be a key component of a successful national mandate to help all citizens become fluent in 21st-century skills. As noted in the Partnership for 21st Century Skills (2003) report, “As the world grows increasingly complex, success and prosperity will be linked to people’s ability to think, act, adapt and communicate creatively” (p. 10).

CHARACTERISTICS OF QUALITY MEDIA LITERACY PRACTICE

In her opening address to the National Media Education Conference, Faith Rogow (2003), president of the Alliance for a Media Literate America, noted that educators are beginning to see media literacy as the best way to help students master the skills of critical thinking. Soon, Rogow predicted, media literacy will become central to what is taught in American schools "as the glue that holds everything together."

What is this glue composed of? What are the core components of quality media literacy practice? And how will it empower the citizens and scholars, the parents and workers of the future?

First, the focus of media literacy is on *process* rather than *content*. The goal of media literacy is not to memorize facts about media or even be able to make a video or design a PowerPoint presentation. Rather, the goal is to explore questions that arise when one engages critically with a mediated message—print or electronic. It involves posing problems that exercise higher order thinking skills—learning how to identify key concepts, make connections between multiple ideas, ask pertinent questions, identify fallacies, and formulate a response. It is these skills, more than factual knowledge, that form the foundation of intellectual inquiry and workplace productivity, and that are necessary for exercising full citizenship in a democratic society and a global economy.

Such skills have always been essential for an educated life, and good teachers have always fostered them. But too often they emerge only as a by-product of mastering content areas such as literature, history, the sciences, and mathematics. Learning and process skills are seldom taught explicitly. But if we are to graduate students who can be in charge of their own continual learning in a media culture, we must "incorporate learning skills into classrooms *deliberately, strategically and broadly*" (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2003, p. 4). As writer Alvin Toffler (as cited in Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2003) pointed out, "The illiterate of the 21st century will not be those who cannot read and write, but those who cannot learn, unlearn, and relearn" (p. 4). By its very nature, media literacy education teaches and reinforces 21st century-learning skills.

Second, media literacy education expands the concept of *text* to include not just written texts but any message form—verbal, aural, or visual—(or all three together!)—that is used to create and then pass ideas back and forth between human beings. Full understanding of such a text involves not just deconstruction activities—that is, taking apart a message that already exists—but also construction activities—learning to write their opinions and ideas with the wide range of multimedia tools now available to young people growing up in a digital world.

Third, media literacy is characterized by the principle of *inquiry*—that is, learning to ask important questions about whatever you see, watch or read:

- Is this new scientific study on diet and weight valid?
- Why does that car commercial keep going around in my head?
- What does it mean when the news reporter talks about a photo opportunity?

With a goal of promoting healthy skepticism rather than cynicism, the challenge for the teacher (or parent) is not to provide answers but to stimulate more questions—to guide, coach, prod, and challenge the learner to discover how to go about finding an answer. “I don’t know. How could we find out?” is the media literacy mantra.

FRAMEWORK FOR LEARNING AND TEACHING IN A MEDIA AGE

How could we find out? is a question, of course, that opens up many more questions. And how we even approach the question determines what answers we might find. Inquiry is also a messy process because one question leads to another and yet another. To keep inquiry on course, curriculum specialists look for a comprehensive framework to provide guidance and overall direction.

In its recently released *CML MediaLit Kit*, the Center for Media Literacy (2003), one of the pioneering organizations in the media literacy field, provided such a framework for learning and teaching in a media age. At its core are *Five Key Questions* that if learned and applied universally by young and old, could “change the world” by transforming the way individuals of all ages interact with and learn in today’s media culture.

Based on the work of media scholars and literacy educators in the United States and around the world, each of the *Five Key Questions* flows from a corresponding core concept and provides an entry point to explore the five fundamental aspects of any message in any medium: author, format, audience, content, and purpose. Starting with simple versions of the questions for young children and moving on to more sophisticated analyses for adults, students of all ages can learn how to apply the questions to a wide variety of messages. Because the questions are succinct, media literacy literature includes a wide variety of “guiding questions” to help to tease out the deepest understandings possible.

Learning to ask the *Five Key Questions* is like learning to ride a bike or to swim; it takes practice and usually is not mastered the first time out. Once learned, however, the process becomes automatic as users build the habit of routinely subjecting media messages to a battery of questions appropriate to their age and ability.

FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT CAN CHANGE THE WORLD!

As the cornerstone of the media literacy process, the Center for Media Literacy's (2003) *Five Key Questions* provide a shortcut and an on-ramp to acquiring and applying information process skills in a practical, replicable, consistent, and attainable way. They are an academically sound and yet engaging way to begin.

KEY QUESTION 1: WHO CREATED THIS MESSAGE?

This question addresses the Core Concept that “*All media messages are ‘constructed’*” and explores the issue of authorship. Whether we are watching the nightly news, passing a billboard on the street, or reading a political campaign flyer, the media message we experience was written by someone (or probably many people), images were captured and edited, and a creative team with many talents put it all together. However, as the audience, we do not get to see or hear the words, pictures, or arrangements that were rejected. We see, hear, or read only what was accepted! What is important for critical thinking is the recognition that whatever is “constructed” by just a few people can tend to become “the way it is” for the rest of us.

Helping people understand how media are put together—and what may have been left out—as well as how media shape what we know and understand about the world we live in is a critical first step in recognizing that media are not natural but constructed, just like a house is built or a car manufactured. Contrary to popular opinion, media are *not* windows on the world, nor are they even mirrors reflecting the real world. What they are, in truth, are carefully manufactured cultural products.

KEY QUESTION 2: WHAT CREATIVE TECHNIQUES ARE USED TO ATTRACT MY ATTENTION?

Flowing from the Core Concept that “*Media messages are constructed using a creative language with its own rules,*” this line of questions examines the creative components that are used in putting it together—the words, music, color, movement, camera angle, and many more.

Most forms of communication—whether newspapers, television game shows, or horror movies—depend on a kind of “creative language”: scary music heightens fear, camera close-ups convey intimacy, big headlines signal significance. Understanding the grammar, syntax, and metaphor system of media, especially visual language, not only helps us to be less susceptible to manipulation but also increases our appreciation and enjoyment of media as constructed cultural artifacts.

The best way to understand how media are put together is to do just that—make a video, create a Web site, or develop an advertising campaign. The more

real world the project is, the better. The four major arts disciplines—music, dance, theatre, and the visual arts—also can provide a context through which one gains skills of analysis, interpretation, and appreciation along with opportunities to practice self-expression and creative production.

KEY QUESTION 3: HOW MIGHT DIFFERENT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THIS MESSAGE DIFFERENTLY FROM ME?

Flowing from the Core Concept that “*Different people experience the same media message differently*,” this question examines how who we are influences how we understand or respond to a media text.

Each audience member brings to each media text a unique set of life experiences (age, gender, education, cultural upbringing, etc.) that when applied to the text—or combined with the text—create unique interpretations. A World War II veteran, for example, brings a different set of experiences to a movie such as *Saving Private Ryan* than a younger person—resulting in a different reaction to the film, as well as, perhaps, greater insight.

We may not be conscious of it, but we are all (even toddlers) constantly trying to make sense of what we see, hear, or read. The more questions we can ask about what we and others are experiencing around us, the more alert we can be when it comes to accepting or rejecting messages. And hearing other’s interpretations can build respect for different cultures and appreciation for minority opinions, a critical skill in an increasingly multicultural world.

KEY QUESTION 4: WHAT LIFESTYLES, VALUES AND POINTS OF VIEW ARE REPRESENTED IN—OR OMITTED FROM—THIS MESSAGE?

This question explores the content of a media message and flows from the Core Concept that “*Media have embedded values and points of view*.”

Because all media messages are constructed, choices have to be made. These choices inevitably reflect the values, attitudes, and points of view of the ones doing the constructing. The decision about a character’s age, gender, or race mixed in with the lifestyles, attitudes, and behaviors that are portrayed, the selection of a setting (urban? rural? affluent? poor?), and the actions and reactions in the plot are just some of the ways that values become “embedded” in a television show, a movie, or an advertisement. Even the news has embedded values in the decisions made about what stories go first, how long they are, what kinds of pictures are chosen, and so forth.

What is significant about this question is not the fact that ideas and values are embedded but that value-laden information reinforces—or challenges—how we interpret the world around us and the people in it. If we have the skills to rationally identify both overt and latent values in a mediated presentation, whether from the media or from a coworker, we are likely to be much more tolerant of differences and more astute in our decision making to accept or reject the

overall message. Being able to recognize and name missing perspectives is also a critical skill as we negotiate our way each day of our lives through an increasingly multicultural society.

KEY QUESTION 5: WHY IS THIS MESSAGE BEING SENT?

With Key Question 5, we look at the motive or purpose of a media message. Recognizing the fifth core concept that “*Media messages are constructed to gain profit and/or power;*” we use this line of questioning to determine whether and how a message may have been influenced by money, ego, or ideology. To respond to a message appropriately, we need to be able to figure out why it was sent.

Much of the world’s mass media today were developed as moneymaking enterprises and continue to operate today as commercial businesses. So when evaluating a specific media message, it helps to know if profit is the purpose. A commercial influence over entertainment media may be more tolerable to many people than, say, a commercial influence over the news. But with democracy at stake almost everywhere around the world, citizens of every country need to be equipped with the ability to determine both economic and ideological spin.

The issue of message motivation has changed dramatically since the Internet became an international platform through which groups and organizations—even individuals—have ready access to powerful tools that can persuade others to a particular point of view. As an exercise in power unprecedented in human history, the Internet provides multiple reasons for users of all ages to be able to interpret rhetorical devices, spot faulty reasoning, verify sources, and recognize the qualities of legitimate research.

PREPARING FOR CITIZEN EMPOWERMENT

Resting on a foundation of 25 years of experience in the field plus the thinking of leading practitioners around the world, the Center for Media Literacy’s (2003) *CML MediaLit Kit* was created to help establish a common ground on which to build curriculum and training in media literacy as a building block for 21st-century skills. It provides, for the first time, an accessible integrated outline of the foundational concepts needed to organize and structure teaching activities across the curriculum, across cultures, and across disciplines. Through systematic professional development and parent education, adults master both the Core Concepts and the Key Questions plus gain the conceptual know-how to organize media literacy learning in school and nonschool venues.

The vision of media literacy is to put all individuals, ultimately, in charge of their own learning, empowering them to take an active rather than a passive role in acquiring new knowledge and skills. The *Five Key Questions* and *Five Core Concepts* serve as the “big ideas” or the “enduring understanding” that curricu-

lum specialists look for to generate the thinking, organizing, and communicating competencies called for by the Partnership for 21st Century Skills (2003) and its allies. Together, they are a unique contribution to 21st-century education and a powerful set of tools for preparing not only a flexible and proficient workforce but also informed citizens who understand, share in, and contribute to the public debate.

Now is the time to make media literacy education a national priority in advancing 21st-century skills for a 21st-century world.

REFERENCES

- American Diploma Project. (2004). *Ready or not: Creating a high school diploma that counts*. Available from <http://www.achievc.org>
- Arenson, K. W. (2004, February 10). Study says U.S. should replace states' high school standards. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2004/02/10/education/10DIPL.html>
- Berlo, D. (1975). The context for communication. In G. Hanneman & W. McEwen (Eds.), *Communication and behavior*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Business Coalition for Education Reform. (2001). *Why business cares about education*. Available from <http://www.bceer.org>
- Center for Media Literacy. (2003). *CML MediaLit Kit: A framework for learning and teaching in a media age*. Available from <http://www.medialit.org>
- Gee, J. P. (2003). *What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2003). *Learning for the 21st century: A report and mile guide for 21st century skills*. Washington, DC: Author. Available from <http://www.21stcenturyskills.org>
- Rogow, F. (2003, June). *Patience is a virtue when you've got what you want*. President's address presented at the National Media Education Conference, Baltimore. Available from <http://www.AMLAinfo.org>
- Rushkoff, D. (1996). *Playing the future: How kid's culture can teach us to thrive in an age of chaos*. New York: HarperCollins.
- Tyner, K. (1998). *Literacy in a digital world: Teaching and learning in the age of information*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

ELIZABETH THOMAN, one of the pioneers of the U.S. media literacy field, founded the Center for Media Literacy in Los Angeles in 1989. In 1977, she founded Media & Values magazine and served as executive editor until 1993. As the Center for Media Literacy's executive director from 1989 to 1999, she developed the first generation of teaching tools for media education in the United States, including the curriculum, *Beyond Blame: Challenging Violence in the Media* (Center for Media Literacy, 1995). Thoman is a cofounder and currently a board member of the Alliance for a Media Literate America as well as chair and chief program officer of the Center for Media Literacy. She is a graduate of the Annenberg School for Communication at the University of Southern California.

TESSA JOLLS, president and CEO of the Center for Media Literacy, is a graduate of the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. Since joining the Center for Media Literacy in 1999, she has initiated and led school-based media literacy implementation programs

funded through federal and state grants and formed strategic alliances with two major K-12 education distributors. Previously, she founded, published, and edited for 10 years the HR Reporter, a subscription-based newsletter focusing on change management and organization development for Fortune companies. She also served as a regional communications practice leader for the international consulting firm, Towers Perrin. She began her career teaching reading literacy to adults.